

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **THE DISTRICT COURT OF GUAM**

9 CLIFFORD HACKETT,

CIVIL CASE NO. 20-00022

10 Plaintiff,

11 vs.

ORDER

12 GRTA.GOV,

13 Defendant.
14

15 Before the court is the U.S. Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation to Dismiss
16 Complaint with Leave to Amend and to Deny Application to Waive Fees, file by Fax and to
17 Serve Opposing Party by Fax or Email. ECF No. 2. For the reasons stated herein, the court
18 **ADOPTS IN PART** the Report and Recommendation. The Application to Proceed without
19 Prepayment of Fees is **DENIED**. The above-captioned matter is **DISMISSED** with prejudice.
20

21 The U.S. Magistrate Judge recommends the dismissal of the case for the following
22 reasons. First, the Complaint lacks factual allegations to establish that Plaintiff has standing to
23 bring a claim under the Americans with Disabilities Act. R. & R. at 3, ECF No. 2. Second, the
24 Complaint fails to allege that Plaintiff is a person with a disability. *Id.* at 4. Thus, the U.S.
Magistrate Judge recommends dismissal of the Complaint with leave to amend.

1 The court finds that granting leave to amend would be futile. The Report and
2 Recommendation was mailed to Plaintiff. Said mail was returned as undeliverable. *See* ECF No.
3 3. Plaintiff provided the following mailing address, “General Delivery, Barrigada, GU, 96913.”
4 Compl. at 1, ECF No. 1. Plaintiff has not provided any other contact information, and this court
5 has no means to serve him the Report and Recommendation.

6 The court has the inherent power to *sua sponte* dismiss a case for lack of prosecution. *Ash*
7 *v. Cvetkov*, 739 F.2d 493, 493 (9th Cir. 1984). “[T]he district court must weigh the court’s need
8 to manage its docket, the public interest in expeditious resolution of litigation, and the risk of
9 prejudice to the defendants against the policy favoring disposition of cases on their merits, and
10 the availability of less drastic sanctions.” *Id.* (citations omitted). Here, the case is unable to
11 proceed because Plaintiff cannot be contacted. Had he provided the correct mailing address, the
12 Report and Recommendation would have been delivered to him, and he would have had the
13 opportunity to amend his Complaint. There are no other less drastic sanctions. Further, the
14 dismissal of the Complaint does not prejudice Defendant, as Defendant has not even been served.
15 Accordingly, this case is **DISMISSED** with prejudice.

16 **SO ORDERED.**



17 /s/ Frances M. Tydingco-Gatewood
18 Chief Judge
19 Dated: Jan 29, 2021
20
21
22
23
24